**Evaluation form for qualifying examination**

**Ph.D. in Drug and Cosmetic Program**

**School of Pharmacy, Walailak University**

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Thesis Title:……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………...

Student Name: …………………………………………………………….………Student ID ………………………………………

**Directions: Please rate the doctoral student’s performance in each area (component) listed below, and provide an overall rating for performance on the qualifying exams.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation item** | **Result** | | | | | |
| **Unsatisfactory**  **1** | **Marginal 2** | **Satisfactory**  **3** | **Strong**  **4** | **Outstanding**  **5** | **Total** |
| The student communicates effectively orally (3 score) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The student describes the research problems s/he is addressing (3 score) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The student describes existing solutions and approaches to addressing the problems (3 score) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The student develops novel solutions to addressing the problems (3 score) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The student understands theories or background in the area of educational focus  (3 score) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The student clearly answer the questions (5 score) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\* Student have to pass final score ≥ 70% (paper and oral)

Evaluation by: ……………………………………………………………………

(………………………………………………………………….)

Date: ……………./………………………………../………………..

**Rubric:**

**Unsatisfactory:** Candidate shows very limited knowledge of major concepts, theories, issues and practices pertinent to this area. Applications cited are not consistent with current practice or assumptions or are not given where necessary.

**Marginal:** Candidate shows surface knowledge and understanding of most major concepts, theories, issues and practices pertinent to this area. Applications cited are frequently not consistent with current practice or assumptions or are frequently not given where necessary.

**Satisfactory:** Candidate demonstrates general knowledge and understanding of the major concepts, theories, issues and practices pertinent to this area. Applications are generally consistent with current practice and assumptions.

**Strong:** Candidate generally demonstrates in-depth knowledge and understanding of major concepts, theories, issues and practices pertinent to this area. Applications cited are generally consistent with current practice or assumptions in the field.

**Outstanding:** Candidate consistently demonstrates in-depth knowledge and understanding of the major concepts, theories, issues and practices pertinent to this area. Applications are highly consistent with current practice and assumptions in the field.